WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UN	NITED STATES OF AMERICA		
	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Jose Coronel-Beltran	Case Number: <u>11-02332M-001</u>	
and was repre	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § esented by counsel. I conclude by a preant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on March 1, 2011. Defendant was present eponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
	•	a I limited Chates on levelight, admitted for a superior set of the	
⊠		e United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant c	ontacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal	history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexic	co.	
	The defendant is an amnesty app substantial family ties to Mexico.	olicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has	
	There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade	empted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum	m of years imprisonment.	
The Cat the time of	Court incorporates by reference the matter, except as r	aterial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court noted in the record.	
		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defe	endant will flee.	
2.	No condition or combination of con	ditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
	DIREC*	TIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
a corrections t appeal. The c of the United S	facility separate, to the extent practical defendant shall be afforded a reasonal States or on request of an attorney for	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in ble, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending ble opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the bose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
	APPEA	LS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
IT IS deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of the of the motion for review/reconsideration	nis detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to on to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
		e to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial	

DATE: <u>March 1, 2011</u>

investigate the potential third party custodian.

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge